IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE MR.K.S.RADHAKRISHNAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.N.KRISHNAN

FRIDAY, THE 2ND MARCH 2007 / 11TH PHALGUNA 1928

WP(C).No. 7128 of 2007(S)

PETITIONER:
---

1. KANAKAN, S/O. P.R. THIRUMENI PILLAI,
KUKRUPATH HOUSE, PALLISSERY, ARATTUPUZHA,
THRISSUR DISTRICT.

2. RADHAKRISHNAN, S/O. LAKSHMANAN,
POOVATHINKAL HOUSE, ARATTUPUZHA, THRISSUR DISTRICT

BY ADV. SRI.JIJO PAUL

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE COCHIN DEVASWOM BOARD,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, OFFICE OF THE
COCHIN DEVASWOM BOARD, THRISSUR.

2. THE PRESIDENT,
PALLISSERY CHITTENGARA SREEKRISHNA KSHETHRA
KSHEMA SAMITHI, CHITTENGARA, PALLISSERY,
ARATTUPUZHA P.O., THRISSUR DISTRICT.

3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
THIRUVAMKULAM GROUP, COCHIN DEVASWOM BOARD,
THIRUVAMKULAM.

4. THE DEVASWOM OFFICER,
COCHIN DEVASWOM BOARD, ARATTUPUZHA.

R1 BY ADV. SRI K. GOPALAKRISHNA KURUP

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 02/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN, Ag. C.J. & M.N. KRISHNAN, J.

W. P. C. No 7128 of 2007

Dated: 2nd March 2007

JUDGMENT

Radhakrishnan, Ag. C.J.

Petitioners are members of the Chittengara Kshethra Kshema Samithi. The term of the Committee expired as early as in February 2004. Later no meeting was held for the purpose of electing new members. Petitioners have now approached this court seeking a writ of mandamus prohibiting the officers under the first respondent from interfering in the affairs of the Chittengara Sreekrishna temple and for a direction to the first respondent to conduct election to the Chittengara Temple Kshethra Kshema Samithi and also to quash Ext. P6 order. We find no reason to examine the correctness or otherwise of the contentions urged on behalf of the petitioners. Further, with regard to the prayer of the petitioners for a direction to the first respondent to conduct election to the Kshethra Kshema Samithi, it is for the first respondent to consider if the temples are under the administration of the first respondent. In such circumstances, this writ petition lacks merits and the same is dismissed.

SD/-

K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN
Ag. Chief Justice

SD/-
M.N. KRISHNAN
Judge

02/03/2007 [TRUE COPY]

Submitted